1		The Honorable Marsha J. Pechma
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
9	WESTERN DIST	RICT OF WASHINGTON
10		
11	JULIE DALESSIO, an individual,	No. 2:17-cv-00642-MJP
12	Plaintiff,	First Amended Complaint
13	V.	Jury Trial Requested
14	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, a Washington Public Corporation; Eliza	
1516	Saunders, Director of the Office of Public Records, in her personal and official capacity; Alison Swenson, Compliance	
17	Analyst, in her personal capacity; Perry Tapper, Public Records Compliance Officer, in his personal capacity; Andrew	
18	Palmer, Compliance Analyst, in his personal capacity; Jayne Freeman, a	
19	Special Assistant Attorney General, in her personal and official capacity; Derek Chen,	
20	an attorney working under the Special Assistant Attorney General, in his personal	
21	and official capacity; LaHoma Walker, a Legal Assistant working under Special	
22	Assistant Attorney General, in her personal and official capacity; John or Jane Does 1-	
23	12, in his or her personal capacity,	
24	Defendants.	
25	Plaintiff Julie Dalessio alleges for her	r Complaint against collectively the Defendants on
26	_	and to information and belief as to the activities of
27	others as follows: First Amended Complaint	1 Law Office of Joseph Thomas
28	Case 2:17-cv-00642	1 Law Office of Joseph Thomas 14625 SE 176 th St., Apt. N101 Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848

1 I. Introduction 2 1. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C § 1983, Plaintiff alleges the deprivation of rights guaranteed to 3 her by the Fourth, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution. She seeks 4 declaratory relief, equitable relief, damages, attorney's fees and litigation expenses/costs. 5 **II.** Jurisdiction 2. This case arises under the United States and Washington Constitutions and 42 U.S.C. 6 7 §1983. 8 3. This court has jurisdiction by virtue of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343, 1443 and 1446. 9 Further this Court has jurisdiction to issue declaratory relief under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. 10 This Court has supplemental or pendant jurisdiction over Washington State claims made under 28 11 U.S.C. § 1367(a) and in particular Washington State claims made against the University of 12 Washington. The University of Washington has consented to federal court jurisdiction for 13 purposes of considering the issues of common law privacy violations, breach of contract, libel, 14 civil rights violations, and injunctive relief raised in this action. 15 4. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C § 1391(b)(2) because the University of 16 Washington maintains all or substantially all of the records at issue in Seattle Washington, or 17 because Seattle is where the decision was made to wrongfully produce the records at issue. 18 III. Parties 19 5. Plaintiff Julie Dalessio ("Dalessio"), is a former a former classified staff employee of 20 the University of Washington, and at all relevant times a resident of the state of Washington. 21 6. Defendant University of Washington ("UW") is a Washington public corporation. 22 7. Defendant Eliza Saunders ("Saunders"), is an individual UW official serving as a 23 Director of the Office of Public Records at the UW's Office of Public Records and Open Public 24 Meetings. Defendant Saunders is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being 25 sued in her personal and official capacities. In all of her actions and omissions alleged herein, 26 Defendant Saunders was acting under the color of state law. 27 8. Defendant Perry Tapper ("**Tapper**"), is an individual UW official serving as a Public Records Compliance Officer at the UW's Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings.

First Amended Complaint

2
Law Office of Joseph Thom 28

Case 2:17-cv-00642

Law Office of Joseph Thomas 14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101 Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848 Defendant Tapper is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in his personal capacity. In all of his actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Tapper was acting under the color of state law.

- 9. Defendant Andrew Palmer ("**Palmer**"), is an individual UW official serving as a Compliance Analyst at the UW's Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings. Defendant Palmer is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in his personal capacity. In all of his actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Palmer was acting under the color of state law.
- 10. Defendant Alison Swenson ("Swenson") is an individual UW official serving as a Compliance Analyst at the UW's Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings. Defendant Swenson is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in her personal capacity. In all of her actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Swenson was acting under the color of state law.
- 11. [Stricken] Defendant Jayne Freeman ("Freeman") is an individual contracted Special Assistant Attorney General with the Office of the Washington State Attorney General to provide legal services to Defendant UW. Defendant Freeman is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in her personal capacity. In all of her actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Freeman was acting under the color of state law.
- 12. [Stricken] Defendant Derek Chen ("Chen") is an individual attorney working under the Special Assistant Attorney General with the Office of the Washington State Attorney General to provide legal services to Defendant UW. Defendant Chen is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in his personal capacity. In all of his actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Chen was acting under the color of state law.
- 13. [Stricken] Defendant LaHoma Walker ("Walker") is an individual legal assistant working under the Special Assistant Attorney General with the Office of the Washington State Attorney General to provide legal services to Defendant UW. Defendant Walker is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in her personal capacity. In all of his actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Walker was acting under the color of state law. First Amended Complaint

- 14. Defendant John or Jane Doe 1 ("**Doe 1**") is believed to be an individual UW official serving at the UW's Department of Laboratory Medicine. Defendant Doe 1 is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in his/her personal capacity. In all of his/her actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Doe 1 was acting under the color of state law.
- 15. Defendant John or Jane Doe 2 ("**Doe 2**") is believed to be an individual UW official serving at the UW's Department of Laboratory Medicine. Defendant Doe 2 is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in his/her personal capacity. In all of his/her actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Doe 2 was acting under the color of state law.
- 16. Defendant John or Jane Doe 3 ("**Doe 3**") is believed to be an individual UW official serving at the UW's Department of Human Resources for the Upper Campus. Defendant Doe 3 is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is sued in being his/her personal capacity. In all of his/her actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Doe 3 was acting under the color of state law.
- 17. Defendant John or Jane Doe 4 ("**Doe 4**") is believed to be an individual UW official serving at the UW's Department of Human Resources of UW Medicine. Defendant Doe 4 is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in his/her personal capacity. In all of his/her actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Doe 4 was acting under the color of state law.
- 18. Defendant John or Jane Doe 5 ("**Doe 5**") is believed to be an individual UW official serving at the UW's Department of Human Resources of UW Medicine. Defendant Doe 5 is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in his/her personal capacity. In all of his/her actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Doe 5 was acting under the color of state law.
- 19. Defendant John or Jane Doe 6 ("**Doe 6**") is believed to be an individual UW official serving at the UW's Department of Payroll Services. Defendant Doe 6 is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in his/her personal capacity. In all of his/her actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Doe 6 was acting under the color of state law.

serving at the UW's Office of Finance and Administration. Defendant Doe 7 is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in his/her personal capacity. In all of his/her actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Doe 7 was acting under the color of state law.

- 21. Defendant John or Jane Doe 8 ("**Doe 8**") is believed to be an individual UW official serving at the UW's Office of Records Management Services. Defendant Doe 8 is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in his/her personal capacity. In all of his/her actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Doe 8 was acting under the color of state law.
- 22. Defendant John or Jane Doe 9 ("**Doe 9**") is believed to be an individual UW official serving at the UW's Department of Legal and Business Affairs of UW Medicine. Defendant Doe 9 is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in his/her personal capacity. In all of his/her actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Doe 8 was acting under the color of state law.
- 23. Defendant John or Jane Doe 10 ("**Doe 10**") is believed to be an individual UW official serving at the UW's Office of Chief Health System Officer of UW Medicine. Defendant Doe 10 is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in his/her personal capacity. In all of his/her actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Doe 10 was acting under the color of state law.
- 24. Defendant John or Jane Doe 11 ("**Doe 11**") is believed to be an individual UW official serving at the UW's Department of Records and Management Services at UW Medicine. Defendant Doe 11 is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in his/her personal capacity. In all of his/her actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Doe 11 was acting under the color of state law.
- 25. Defendant John or Jane Doe 12 ("**Doe 12**") is believed to be an individual UW official serving at the UW's Office of Disability Services. Defendant Doe 12 is a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is being sued in his/her personal capacity. In all of his/her actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendant Doe 12 was acting under the color of state law.

1 IV. Facts 2 26. Defendant UW's Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings oversees UW's 3 compliance with the Washington Public Records Act, RCW 42.56.001, et. seq. ("PRA"). 4 27. David Betz ("Betz") is an individual who from 2005-16 lived in an adjacent property 5 to Dalessio. 6 28. In May 2015, Betz sued Dalessio in King County Superior Court claiming that when 7 Dalessio built a fence, it was on Betz's property. The case number is 15-2-17152-9. 8 29. On September 16, 2015, while litigation was still on-going, Betz made a request 9 under the PRA to Defendant UW for "all records maintained by the University of Washington 10 relating or pertaining to Julie Dalessio." In making the PRA request, Betz used Dalessio's student 11 email address, jdaless@u.washington.edu, as a tool to identify Dalessio. Dalessio received the 12 email address, idaless@u.washington.edu, while she was a student at UW obtaining her Master's 13 Degree in Laboratory Medicine. 14 30. On November 10, 2015, Defendant Swenson responded to Betz's request made under 15 the PRA and verified that for this installment of documents "the appropriate redactions" were 16 made according to the PRA. The bases for the redactions are: FERPA Student Privacy 20 U.S.C. 17 § 1232; RCW 42.56.050 Invasion of Privacy; RCW 42.56.070(1) Other Statute; RCW 18 42.56.230(3) Employee Privacy; RCW 42.56.230(3) Taxpayer Information; RCW 42.56.230(3) 19 Employee Information. 20 31. On December 04, 2015, Defendant Swenson responded to Betz's request made under 21 the PRA and again verified that for this second and final installment of documents "made the 22 appropriate redactions and/or exemptions" according to the PRA. The bases for the redactions or 23 exemptions, according to Defendant Swenson are the following: FERPA Student Privacy 20 24 U.S.C. § 1232; HIPAA 40 C.F.R. Part 160, 164; RCW 42.56.050 Invasion of Privacy; RCW 25 42.56.070(1) Other Statute; RCW 42.56.230(3) Employee Privacy; RCW 42.56.230(3) Employee 26 Performance Evaluation; RCW 42.56.230(3) Taxpayer Information; RCW 42.56.250(2) 27 Employment Application; RCW 42.56.230(3) Employee Information; RCW 70.02.020 Medical Records. First Amended Complaint 28 6 Law Office of Joseph Thomas

- 32. On or around March 22, 2016, Betz revealed in discovery that he had obtained records from Defendant UW that he planned to use as evidence against Ms. Dalessio in his adverse possession lawsuit. When filed with the King County Superior Court these documents would become public record that Dalessio would have no control over.
- 33. When Dalessio learned of Betz's PRA request, she immediately became concerned for the security of her private information held by Defendant UW, and consequently made her own PRA request to Defendant UW for a copy of the records that Betz received from his PRA request. Dalessio's request was designated as PR-2016-00218 by Defendant UW.
- 34. On or around April 10, 2016, Dalessio received a disc containing PR-2016-00218, records responsive to her "public records request for a copy of the records released for PR 2015-00570."
- 35. From the documentation provided, it appears that Defendant Swenson produced the records to both PRA requests: Dalessio's PRA request PR-2016-00218; Betz's PRA request PR 2015-00570.
- 36. In response to Dalessio's PRA request number PR-2016-00218, in relevant part, Defendant Swenson produced the following private, confidential, personal information about Dalessio to Betz: Dalessio's social security number; Dalessio's date of birth, Dalessio's place of birth; Dalessio's personal home address; Dalessio's personal phone number; Dalessio's personal email address; Dalessio's employee identification number; Dalessio's payroll records; Dalessio's protected health information under both federal laws the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act ("HIPAA"), and the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"); Dalessio's requests for accommodation under the ADA; comments by other employees about Dalessio's disabilities; Dalessio's employee job classification and salary and benefits information; Dalessio's employment security records; Dalessio's job performance evaluations and allegations related to alleged misconduct; Dalessio's work and leave records; Dalessio's previous legal surnames; Dalessio's signature. These records are personally identifiable, private and confidential which could lead to identity theft.
- 37. On April 17, 2016, out of fear that her private, confidential, personal information was First Amended Complaint

 7 Law Office of Joseph Thomas
 14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101
 Renton, Washington
 Phone (206)390-8848

unlawfully being disclosed to known and unknown third parties Dalessio contacted Defendant Swenson by email and Defendant UW's Office of Public Records by United States Postal Service alerting them that Betz "was given confidential information, including my social security number and date of birth along with the other health and personnel related, confidential, exempt information."

- 38. On April 27, 2016, Dalessio did receive a response from Defendant Swenson which only attached Betz's original request, PR 2015-00570, and did not address Dalessio's stated concerns about her private confidential information.
- 39. A request summary report, generated on April 10, 2017, appears to identify persons employed by Defendant UW who searched for and transmitted documents to Defendant UW's Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings that were produced in response to request PR 2015-00570 submitted by Betz. The request summary report identifies Defendant UW employees who helped fulfill request PR 2015-00570. It believed that the persons who were involved in assisting Defendant UW's Office of Public Records and Public Meetings Act: Doe 1; Doe 2; Doe 3; Doe 4; Doe 5; Doe 6; Doe 7; Doe 8; Doe 9; Doe 10; Doe 11; Doe 12.
- 40. It is under personal belief that Defendant Tapper approved Defendant Swenson's production of documents before it was released to either Betz or Dalessio through requests PR-2016-00218 and PR 2015-00570. The belief is based upon the fact that the initial "PMT" appeared on the request summary report for PR 2015-00570, and also because Defendant Swenson identified Defendant Tapper as her Supervisor at the time the requests were made.
- 41. On May 20, 2016, Dalessio made a telephone call to Defendant UW's Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings and spoke with Defendant Tapper following-up on Dalessio's April 17, 2016 communications to Defendant Swenson and Defendant UW that went unanswered about Dalessio's private and confidential information unlawfully being disclosed via the Public Records Act. Defendant Tapper Dalessio did not respond to Dalessio's concern directly, but stated Defendant UW's Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings does not respond to requests for information. Further, Defendant Tapper made Dalessio believe that the only way she could receive a response from Defendant UW's Office of Public Records and Open First Amended Complaint

1 Public Meetings would be to submit a PRA request. 2 42. It is under belief that Defendant Saunders acted as the Director of the UW Office of 3 Public Records And Open Meetings throughout these occurrences. It is believed she was 4 instrumental in the oversight and implementation of relevant Public Records Act disclosures. 5 43. On October 14, 2016, Dalessio made a telephone call to Defendant UW's Office of 6 Ombudsman speaking to Ombud Chuck Sloane ("Sloane"). Dalessio conveyed to Sloane her 7 fears about her private and confidential information unlawfully being disclosed via PRA requests. 8 Sloane referred Dalessio to the Office of the Attorney General – University of Washington and 9 Washington Department of Enterprise Services. Defendant UW has its own division of the 10 Washington Department of Enterprise Services known as UW Department of Risk Services. 11 44. On October 21, 2016, Dalessio filed claims with both Defendant UW Department of 12 Risk Services, and Washington Department of Enterprise Services. The claims gave legal notice 13 to both entities that Dalessio was legally wronged by Defendant UW's production of documents 14 under the PRA to Betz's request because of: privacy violations, reputation injured, and claimed 15 actual damages including mental pain and suffering, and breach of contract. Dalessio made both 16 of these notices of claims pursuant to RCW 4.92.100. 17 45. On or about October 2016, Dalessio contacted Office of the Attorney General at the 18 University of Washington and spoke with Assistant Attorney General Rob Kosin ("Kosin"). 19 Kosin told Dalessio that there was nothing he could do. 20 46. On personal belief, Dalessio feared many departments within Defendant UW were 21 disclosing or could possibly disclose Dalessio's personal, private information, based upon the 22 unlawful PRA disclosure to Betz. 23 47. On November 09, 2016, Dalessio submitted a PRA request to Defendant UW's Office 24 of Public Records and Open Public Meetings. Defendant UW designated this request as PR-25 2016-00760. This request sought "a digital copy of [Dalessio's] departmental personnel file, 26 along with any other computer or paper files that might contain records of inquiries concerning 27 [Dalessio's] employment at the UW since [Dalessio's] resignation in 2003. [Dalessio] is also requesting any other records of departmental communications, concerning [Dalessio's] 28

Case 2:17-cv-00642

Law Office of Joseph Thomas 14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101 Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848

28

employment with the UW, including phone logs, calendars, and emails exchanged with human resources, former supervisor Rhoda Ashley Morrow or others concerning [Dalessio]." Dalessio also asked UW to contact her if it needed clarification about the scope or meaning of her request.

- 48. On February 02, 2017, Defendant UW denied Dalessio's October 21, 2016 notice of claim.
- 49. On or about February 2017, Dalessio received the final of two installments of documents responsive to her request PR-2016-00760. Defendant Palmer was the person who produced both installments of records to Dalessio. It believed that the persons involved in assisting Defendant UW's Office of Public Records and Public Meetings Act include: Doe 1; Doe 2; Doe 3; Doe 4; Doe 5; Doe 6; Doe 7; Doe 8; Doe 9; Doe 10; Doe 11; Doe 12.
- 50. In response to Dalessio's PRA request number PR-2016-00760, in relevant part, Defendant Palmer produced the following private, confidential, personal information about Dalessio: Dalessio's social security number; Dalessio's date of birth, Dalessio's place of birth; Dalessio's personal home address; Dalessio's personal phone number; Dalessio's personal email address; Dalessio's employee identification number; Dalessio's payroll records; Dalessio's protected health information under both federal laws the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act ("HIPAA"), and the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"); Dalessio's requests for accommodations under the ADA; comments by other employees about Dalessio's disabilities; Dalessio's employee job classification and salary and benefits information; Dalessio's employment security records; Dalessio's job performance evaluations and allegations related to alleged misconduct; Dalessio's work and leave records; Dalessio's previous legal surnames; Dalessio's signature; thirty-seven (37) copies of letters supposed to be taken out of Dalessio's file pursuant to the 2003 settlement agreement between Defendant UW and Dalessio; Dalessio's cognitive job analysis; Dalessio's psychiatric notes; intimate personal information about Dalessio's home life; a document wrongfully implying Dalessio taking medications to combat a disease. These records are personally identifiable, private and confidential which could lead to identity theft.

00760 was, at the time, unknown to even Dalessio herself. Dalessio only learned of some of this medical information through the production of documents to PR-2016-00760.

- 52. The "Request Summary Report" associated with PR 2016-00760 [Dkt. 42] indicates 1431 pages of documents were withheld from the documents provided to Dalessio in this PRA request, even though this PR 2016-00760 did not include any indication that any other documents were withheld, or descriptions of documents withheld, as required under the PRA.
- 53. On March 28, 2017, Dalessio filed this current action in King County Superior Court, as case number 17-2-07812-3 SEA.
- 54. [Stricken] On April 10, 2017, Defendant Freeman filed a notice of appearance in King County Superior Court as attorney of record for Defendant UW.
- 55. On April 24, 2017, Defendant UW filed a notice of removal of this case to United States District Court for the Western District of Washington and consented to jurisdiction over all the claims in this action.
- 56. [Stricken] On May 25, 2017, Dalessio had a joint telephonic conference with Defendant Freeman and Defendant Chen regarding this current action, in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington. In this telephonic conference Dalessio expressed serious concern about the safety and security of her private information while presenting evidence to this Court about the claims listed in the original complaint. Dalessio suggested that both parties use descriptions of her private and confidential information, in accordance with the Federal Rules of Evidence, rather than filing the complete unredacted documents. Defendant Freeman told Dalessio that she would have to think about how Dalessio's private and confidential information would be filed and presented to this Court.
- 57. [Stricken] On or about May 25, 2017, Dalessio served requests for admissions to Defendant Freeman, pursuant to Rule 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, asking Defendant UW to admit to descriptions of information contained in the public records produced by Defendant UW.
 - 58. [Stricken] Defendant UW made objections to each and every request for admission

14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101 Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848

1	Dalessio's employee identification number; Dalessio's payroll records; Dalessio's protected
2	health information under both federal laws the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability
3	Act ("HIPAA"), and the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"); Dalessio's requests for
4	accommodation under the ADA; comments by other employees about Dalessio's disabilities;
5	Dalessio's employee job classification and salary and benefits information; Dalessio's
6	employment security records; Dalessio's job performance evaluations and allegations related to
7	alleged misconduct; Dalessio's work and leave records; Dalessio's previous legal surnames;
8	Dalessio's signature. These records are personally identifiable, and contain private and
9	confidential which could lead to identity theft.
10	66. Defendant Swenson's Declaration and exhibits, as it was filed with this Court,
11	violated Local Court Rule 5.2 because it did not fully redact Dalessio's social security number
12	and date of birth before being entered with the PACER CM/ECF system.
13	67. [Stricken] On August 27, 2017, Dalessio emailed Ms. Laurie Cuaresma, Courtroom
14	Deputy to Honorable Judge Martinez, and expressed that Dalessio felt re-violated by the
15	Defendant Swenson's Declaration and exhibits that "publicly re-disseminat[e] personal,
16	confidential, and statutorily exempt information including my date of birth, personal uw
17	student/alumni email, health information etc, as described in my complaint." Defendants
18	Freeman, Chen and Walker were carbon copied to this email.
19	68. [Stricken] On August 28, 2017, Ms. Cuaresma responded by email and stated that
20	upon review of the Defendant Swenson's Declaration and exhibits, "one exhibit contains
21	information that should have been redacted" and was immediately sealed. Ms. Cuaresma directed
22	Defendant to re-file the exhibit with the appropriate redactions.
23	69. [Stricken] On August 29, 2017, Dalessio for the second time emailed Ms. Cuaresma,
24	to express a separate exhibit contained her unredacted date of birth. Defendants Freeman, Chen
25	and Walker were carbon copied to this email.
26	70. [Stricken] On August 30, 2017, Ms. Cuaresma responded by email for the second
27	time and stated that upon further review, "the Court had identified several pages that still
28	contained Ms. Dalessio's social security number, as well as her date of birth," which immediately First Amended Complaint 13 Case 2:17 cv 00642 Case 2:17 cv 00642

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

26

27

28

sealed.

	/1. [Strick	enj On Aug	gust 30, 201 ,	, Dalessio (emailed De	etendants I	-reeman,	Chen a	ınd
Walk	er and asked	to discuss h	ow to file th	ese records	under sea l	pursuant	to Local (Court F	≀ule
5(g).									

- 72. [Partially Stricken] On September 01, 2017, Defendant Freeman again entered Swenson's Exhibit A containing the entire contents of PR 2015-00570 into the CM/ECF system as Dkts. 32, 33, 34. This disclosure by Defendant Freeman, in relevant part, disclosed to the public the following private, confidential, personal information about Dalessio: Dalessio's place of birth; Dalessio's personal home address; Dalessio's personal phone number; Dalessio's personal email address; Dalessio's employee identification number; Dalessio's payroll records; Dalessio's protected health information under both federal laws the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act ("HIPAA"), and the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"); Dalessio's requests for accommodation under the ADA; comments by other employees about Dalessio's disabilities; Dalessio's employee job classification and salary and benefits information; Dalessio's employment security records; Dalessio's job performance evaluations and allegations related to alleged misconduct; Dalessio's work and leave records; Dalessio's previous legal surnames; Dalessio's signature. These records are personally identifiable, private and confidential which could lead to identity theft.
- 73. [Stricken] Defendants Freeman, Chen and Walker never responded to Dalessio's request to discuss how to file the records under seal pursuant to Local Court Rule 5(g).
- 74. On September 05, 2018, Dalessio filed a Motion to Seal exhibits from Defendant Swenson's Declaration that contained Dalessio's private and confidential information. The Court subsequently sealed several of the exhibits in their entirety.
- 75. Dalessio has suffered economically, physically and emotionally from these disclosures. Furthermore, since this information was made public she may suffer harm at any time in the future because of this harm.
- 76. Economically, Dalessio has been harmed by the disclosure of her private and confidential information. First, First Amended Complaint 14 Law Office of Joseph Thomas Case 2:17-cv-00642 Law Office of Joseph Thomas 14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101 Renton, Washington

Phone (206)390-8848

1	Dalessio had to pay an attorney King County Superior Court case number 15-2-17152-9, against
2	Betz, to review Dalessio's PRA request PR-2016-00218; Betz's PRA request PR 2015-00570.
3	Second, Dalessio paid the attorney to perform a legal analysis of the laws governing private and
4	confidential information to determine if she was legally harmed by this disclosure. Third,
5	Dalessio had to pay the attorney in case King County Superior Court case number 15-2-17152-9
6	to make legal filings to protect her private and confidential information from becoming part or the
7	public court record. Fourth, Dalessio has had to pay for legal consultation fees in connection with
8	the disclosure her private and confidential information. Fifth, Dalessio has had to pay court costs
9	associated with this present action. All of these costs were incurred in Dalessio trying to remove
10	her private and confidential information from the public record.
11	77. Physically, Dalessio has been harmed by the disclosure of her private and confidential
12	information because she is allergic to plastics. Contact with any type of plastics results in
13	inflammation and lasting pain. Because of these disclosures, Dalessio has had to use the
14	telephone to make phone calls, computers to write letters, a printer to print documents, among
15	other types of plastics, all to try to remove her private and confidential information from the
16	public record, in amounts to be determined by jury at trial.
17	78. Emotionally, Dalessio has been harmed by the disclosure of her private and
18	confidential information. Dalessio has suffered from sleep disturbances, agitation, traumatic
19	stress, lack of appetite, sadness, embarrassment, worry, humiliation, in amounts to be determined
20	by the jury at trial.
21	79. The University of Washington has a pattern and practice of disclosing private and
22	confidential information in the disclosure of documents in response to PRA requests.
23	80. Dalessio, herself, has received documents under the PRA, from other requests she
24	made, which contain private and confidential information about third parties: PR 2017-00357;
25	PR 2017-00358; PR 2017-00359; PR 2017-00822; PR 2017-00803; PR 2017-00836; PR 2017-
26	00738; PR 2017-00737.
27	81. Records produced by Defendant UW to Dalessio for PRA request PR 2017-00357, in
20	relevant ment contains a comment IIIV Vinele ex annulavante recent le cotion, recorde recent

relevant part contains, a current UW Virology employee's work location, payroll records, work
First Amended Complaint

15
Law Office of Joseph Thomas
14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101
Renton, Washington
Phone (206)390-8848

and leave records, Date of birth, place of birth, employee identification number, personal phone number, W4 information, signature, retirement and insurance information, employee job classification and salary information, email regarding lack of qualifications for job, documents clearly marked as "confidential." These records are personally identifiable, private and confidential which could lead to identity theft. The included inventory of documents withheld includes performance evaluations and application materials. It is under belief, that Lynn O'Shea who is a UW official serving as a Compliance Analyst at the UW's Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings participated in the disclosure of these records.

82. Records produced by Defendant UW to Dalessio for PRA request PR 2017-00358, in relevant part, contains a current UW Virology employee's work location, employee identification number, payroll records, work and leave records, Date of birth, place of birth, disability status, Investment program enrollment, retirement information, Declaration of marriage/Same Sex Domestic Partnership, dependent daughter, Long Term Disability Insurance Enrollment, height, weight, signature, immigrant status, "Affirmative Action Data" race, origin, physical, sensory, mental impairment, veteran status, test scores, test questions, on the job accident reports, INS I-94 departure record, admission #, INS employee authorization (expired), Family Medical Leave documentation, documents clearly marked as "confidential." These records are personally identifiable, private and confidential which could lead to identity theft. This PR also contained a document relating to a "request for criminal conviction record information from the Washington State Patrol" "pursuant to the Child/Adult Abuse Information Act." This PR did not contain any listing of any documents withheld. It is under belief, that Lynn O'Shea who is a UW official serving as a Compliance Analyst at the UW's Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings, participated this PRA request.

83. Records produced by Defendant UW to Dalessio for PRA request PR 2017-00359, in relevant part contains documents produced to this request ten (10) current or former UW employees that appear to be clients of the UW Disability Service Office. The produced records, in relevant part, identify: locations, employee identification numbers, job classification and salary information, payroll records, work and leave records, FMLA documentation, Retirement pension First Amended Complaint 16 Law Office of Joseph Thomas

Law Office of Joseph Thomas 14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101 Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

benefits information, signatures, Date of birth, place of birth, disability status, protected health information under both federal laws the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act ("HIPAA"), and the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") and RCW 51.28.070 "Information contained in the claim files and records of injured workers," tobacco use, Insurance claim numbers, Insurance policy numbers, medical and dental plan information, signatures, spouse's name, date of marriage, number of dependents, birth certificates, form 1040, employee evaluations, personal email addresses, requests for accommodation under the ADA; comments by other employees about disabilities; medical testing results, documents clearly marked as "confidential." It is under belief, that Lynn O'Shea who is a UW official serving as a Compliance Analyst at the UW's Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings, participated this PRA request.

84. Records produced by Defendant UW to Dalessio for PRA request PR 2017-00822, in relevant part contains documents produced to this request four (4) former UW Virology employees. The produced records, in relevant part, identify: employee identification numbers, dates of birth, places of birth, previous surnames, race, ethnicity, disability handicap status, veteran status, work and leave records and FMLA records, classification and salary records, pension benefit records, payroll records, personal phone numbers, protected health information under both federal laws the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act ("HIPAA"), and the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") and RCW 51.28.070 "Information contained in the claim files and records of injured workers," student identification numbers, performance evaluations, workplace accident reports, insurance information, tobacco use, marital status, height, weight. It is under belief, that Lynn O'Shea who is a UW official serving as a Compliance Analyst at the UW's Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings, participated this PRA request.

85. Records produced by Defendant UW to Dalessio for PRA request PR 2017-00803, in relevant part contains, personal residential address, personal cell phone number, personal email address. These records are personally identifiable, private and confidential which indicates safety and privacy concerns, and could lead to identity theft. It is under belief, that Meg McGough who First Amended Complaint 17 Law Office of Joseph Thomas Case 2:17-cv-00642

Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848 is a UW official serving as a Compliance Officer at the UW's Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings, participated this PRA request.

86. Records produced by Defendant UW to Dalessio for PRA request PR 2017-00836, in relevant part contains, employee ID number, personal residential address, personal phone number, date of birth, place of birth, personal biography, curriculum vitae, personal email address, student email address, salary and benefits information, application materials, performance evaluation, personal emails, disparaging emails, Homeland Security employment eligibility verification (date of birth, citizenship, signature), passport, bank information, documents clearly marked as "confidential" or "disclosure prohibited." These records are personally identifiable, private and confidential which could lead to identity theft. It is under belief, that Meg McGough who is a UW official serving as a Compliance Officer at the UW's Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings, participated this PRA request.

87. Records produced by Defendant UW to Dalessio for PRA request PR 2017-00738, in relevant part, contains social security number, dates of birth, personal residential address, employee identification number, payroll records, insurance, retirement benefit information, personal email addresses, employment security information, documents clearly marked as "confidential," protected health information under both federal laws the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act ("HIPAA"), and the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), L & I claim number, letters implying improper use of controlled substances or other alleged misconduct, many invalid waivers, and financial information. These records are personally identifiable, private and confidential which could lead to stigmatization and/or identity theft. It is under belief, that Meg McGough who is a UW official serving as a Compliance Officer at the UW's Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings, participated this PRA request.

88. PR 2017-00737, in relevant part contains, an "Internal Audit Memorandum" written by Defendant UW, and dated March 2, 2004. The contents of the memo concern violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act 29 CFR 791.2 by Defendant UW, with a December 18, 2002 fax attached containing a July 15, 1997 letter to Dalessio regarding payment for work outside of her First Amended Complaint.

Law Office of Joseph Thomas 14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101 Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848

of Laboratory Medicine files. First Amended Complaint

Case 2:17-cv-00642

1	97. Under belief, the certain specified documents produced in response to PRA request
2	PR 2016-00760, unlawfully came from Dalessio's official Personnel Department file and from all
3	Department of Laboratory Medicine files, violating the terms of the 2003 settlement agreement.
4	
5	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 42 U.S.C. § 1983
6	Substantive Due Process: Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution
7	Against Defendants Saunders, Swenson, Tapper, Palmer, Does 1-12
8	98. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 97 as fully set forth herein.
9	99. Under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, Dalessio has a
10	protected privacy interest in "avoiding disclosure of personal matters." In re Crawford, 194 F. 3d
11	954, 958 (9 th Cir. 1999).
12	100. The acts of Defendants were taken under the color of state law.
13	101. Defendants are legally required to comply with the principle of substantive due
14	process arising out of the Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
15	102. Substantive Due Process protects an "individua['s] interest in avoiding disclosure of
16	personal matters." In re Crawford, 194 F. 3d 954, 958 (9th Cir. 1999).
17	103. Defendants are legally required to comply with Health Information Portability and
18	Accountability Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1320d–6(a)(3) which states violation occurs when a person
19	knowingly "discloses individually identifiable health information to another person."
20	104. Pursuant to 45 CFR § 164.512(a) a covered entity may only disclose protected health
21	information "to the extent that such use or disclosure is required by law and the use or disclosure
22	complies with and is limited to the relevant requirements of such law."
23	105. Defendants had an obligation to adopt policies, procedures, and safeguards to
24	prevent unauthorized access to Dalessio's medical records, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1320d-6(a)(3)
25	and 45 CFR § 164.512(a).
26	106. Defendants are legally required to comply with the Americans With Disabilities Act,
27	42 U.S.C. § 12112(d)(3)(B) and 42 U.S.C. § 12112(d)(4)(C) requires that medical records be kept
28	separately from nonconfidential information, and that access to confidential files be limited. Case 2:17-cv-00642 Law Office of Joseph Thomas 14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101 Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848

Phone (206)390-8848

1	due process found in the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
2	111. Defendants also deprived Dalessio of substantive due process by arbitrary and
3	capricious government action which was not rationally related to a legitimate government
4	interest. Dalessio's liberty rights include deprivation of her reputation, the possibility of identity
5	theft, and her personal safety and security, thereby violating Dalessio's right to due process under
6	the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
7	112. Defendants damaged Dalessio's standing in the community and/or imposed the
8	disclosure of her private and confidential information that affects her safety and security, and
9	forecloses her freedom to conduct her private affairs in private and as she sees fit.
10	113. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' unlawful acts, Dalessio has suffered
11	economic harm.
12	114. As a direct and proximate cause of defendants' unlawful acts, Dalessio has suffered
13	physical harm.
14	115. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' unlawful acts, Dalessio has suffered
15	outrage, betrayal, offense, indignity, embarrassment, humiliation, injury and insult in amounts to
16	be determined at the jury trial.
17	116. Dalessio seeks equitable relief in the form of having her private and confidential
18	information redacted or destroyed from Defendant UW.
19	117. Dalessio seeks equitable relief in the form of Defendant UW providing a complete
20	list of every person(s), business, entity, governmental organization who received a copy of
21	Dalessio's records unlawfully.
22	118. Dalessio seeks recovery of all equitable relief, compensatory damages, and punitive
23	damages as provided by law, in addition to reimbursement of her reasonable attorney's fees and
24	costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and 28 U.S.C. § 1927, if appropriate.
25	119. Defendants' conduct toward Dalessio demonstrated a wanton, reckless, or callous
26	indifference to the constitutional rights of Dalessio, which warrants an imposition of punitive
27	damages in such amounts as the jury may deem appropriate to deter future violations.
28	First Amended Complaint 22 Law Office of Joseph Thomas

1	
2	
3	
4	[Stricken] SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
5	42 U.S.C. § 1983 Substantive Due Process:
6	Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution
7	Against Defendants Freeman, Chen, Walker 120. [Stricken] Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 97 as fully set
8	forth herein.
9	121. [Stricken] Under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States
10	Constitution, Dalessio has a protected privacy interest in "avoiding disclosure of personal
11	matters." In re Crawford, 194 F. 3d 954, 958 (9th Cir. 1999).
12	122. [Stricken] The acts of Defendants were taken under the color of state law.
13	123. [Stricken] Defendants are legally required to comply with the principle of
14	substantive due process arising out of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States
15	Constitution.
16	124. [Stricken] Substantive Due Process protects an "individua['s] interest in avoiding
17	disclosure of personal matters." In re Crawford, 194 F. 3d 954, 958 (9th Cir. 1999).
18	125. [Stricken] Defendants are legally required to comply with Health Information
19	Portability and Accountability Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1320d 6(a)(3) which states violation occurs when
20	a person knowingly "discloses individually identifiable health information to another person."
21	126. [Stricken] Pursuant to 45 CFR § 164.512(a) a covered entity may only disclose
22	protected health information "to the extent that such use or disclosure is required by law and the
23	use or disclosure complies with and is limited to the relevant requirements of such law."
24	127. [Stricken] Defendants had an obligation to adopt policies, procedures, and
25	safeguards to prevent unauthorized access to Dalessio's medical records, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §
26	1320d-6(a)(3) and 45 CFR § 164.512(a).
27	128. [Stricken] Pursuant to 45. CFR § 164.512(a) a covered entity may only disclose
28	protected health information, in response to a PRA request, "to the extent that such use or First Amended Complaint 23 Law Office of Joseph Thomas Case 2:17-cv-00642 Law Office of Joseph Thomas
	II Case 2.17-cv-00042

Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848

disclosure is required by law and the use or disclosure complies with and is limited to the relevant requirements of such law."

129. [Stricken] The Office of the Washington Attorney General is statutorily mandated to provide legal advice to Defendant UW.

130. [Stricken] Pursuant to 45 C.F.R. Parts 160 and 164, Defendant UW is a hybrid covered entity and the Office of the Washington Attorney General is a business associate of the University when it provides legal services that require the use or disclosure of private health information. When the Office of the Washington Attorney General, in its role as a business associate, contracts with another lawyer to provide legal services for the University as a Special Assistant Attorney General, the Office of the Washington Attorney General is required to ensure that the Special Assistant Attorney General maintains the security and confidentiality of protected health information.

General as Defendant Freeman, the Special Assistant Attorney General shall not use or disclose Protected Health Information ("PHI") received from the University or the Office of the Washington Attorney General in any manner that would constitute a violation of federal law, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 and any regulations enacted pursuant to its provisions ("HIPAA Standards") and applicable provisions of Washington state law. The Special Assistant Attorney General shall ensure that its employees, contractors, and agents use or disclose PHI received from, or created or received on behalf of Defendant UW or Office of Washington Attorney General in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement and federal and state law. The Special Assistant Attorney General shall not use or disclose Private Health Information in any manner other than permitted or required by Defendant UW or the Office of the Washington Attorney General for the purpose of accomplishing services on behalf of Defendant UW or the Office of the Washington Attorney General.

132. [Stricken] Also, according to the contract appointing the Special Assistant Attorney

General as Defendant Freeman, the Special Assistant Attorney General agrees that it will

implement all appropriate safeguards to prevent the inappropriate use or disclosure of Private
First Amended Complaint

24

Law Office of Joseph Thomas

Law Office of Joseph Thomas 14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101 Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848

1	Health Information pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Agreement. To the extent the
2	Special Assistant Attorney General carries out Defendant UW's obligations under HIPAA
3	Privacy, Breach Notifications, Security, and Enforcement Rules and regulations, the Special
4	Assistant Attorney General shall comply with the requirements of such Rules and regulations that
5	apply to Defendant UW in the performance of such obligations.
6	133. [Stricken] Defendants are legally required to comply with the court rules when
7	practicing in court. United States District Court for the Western District of Washington's Local
8	Court Rule 5.2 expressly prohibits the filing of documents in the PACER CM/ECF system
9	without first redacting social security numbers, birth dates and financial accounting information.
10	134. [Stricken] Defendants had an obligation to adopt policies, procedures, and
11	safeguards to prevent court filings without the mandatory redactions of social security numbers,
12	birth dates, and financial accounting information in accordance with LCR 5.2.
13	135. [Stricken] Dalessio's substantive due process rights were violated when Defendants
14	Freeman, Chen and Walker entered unredacted documents in dockets 30-1, 30-2, 32, 33, 34
15	because these filings made Dalessio's private and confidential information public because
16	documents filed with the PACER CM/ECF system are public documents that are widely
17	available.
18	136. [Stricken] Dalessio had a right to expect that Defendants would comply with the law
19	to protect her private and confidential information from disclosure. Dalessio has a
20	constitutionally protected right "in avoiding disclosure of personal matters." In re Crawford, 194
21	F. 3d 954, 958 (9 th Cir. 1999).
22	137. [Stricken] Dalessio had a right not to have her private and confidential information
23	collected in absence of evidence of criminal wrongdoing as a part of her right to privacy and right
24	to be left alone and the liberty interests created by state and federal law, and the principle of
25	substantive due process found in the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
26	138. [Stricken] Defendants also deprived Dalessio of substantive due process by arbitrary
27	and capricious government action which was not rationally related to a legitimate government
28	interest. Dalessio's liberty rights include deprivation of her reputation, the possibility of identity First Amended Complaint 25 Law Office of Joseph Thomas Case 2:17-cv-00642 14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101
	D W!

Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848

1	theft, and her personal safety and security, thereby violating Dalessio's right to due process under
2	the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
3	139. [Stricken] Defendants damaged Dalessio's standing in the community and/or
4	imposed the disclosure of her private and confidential information that affects her safety and
5	security, and forecloses her freedom to conduct her private affairs in private and as she sees fit.
6	140. [Stricken] As a direct and proximate result of defendants' unlawful acts, Dalessio
7	has suffered economic harm.
8	141. [Stricken] As a direct and proximate cause of defendants' unlawful acts, Dalessio
9	has suffered physical harm.
10	142. [Stricken] As a direct and proximate result of defendants' unlawful acts, Dalessio
11	has suffered outrage, betrayal, offense, indignity, embarrassment, humiliation, injury and insult in
12	amounts to be determined at the jury trial.
13	143. [Stricken] Dalessio seeks equitable relief in the form of having her private and
14	confidential information redacted or destroyed from Defendants Freeman, Chen and Walker's
15	records.
16	144. [Stricken] Dalessio seeks equitable relief in the form of Defendants Freeman, Chen
17	and Walker permanently sealing Dalessio's court records that were ordered sealed in Docket 51.
18	145. [Stricken] Dalessio seeks recovery of all equitable relief, compensatory damages,
19	and punitive damages as provided by law, in addition to reimbursement of her reasonable
20	attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and 28 U.S.C. § 1927, if appropriate.
21	146. [Stricken] Defendants' conduct toward Dalessio demonstrated a wanton, reckless, or
22	callous indifference to the constitutional rights of Dalessio, which warrants an imposition of
23	punitive damages in such amounts as the jury may deem appropriate to deter future violations.
24	
25	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION 42 U.S.C. § 1983
26	Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution
27	Against Defendants Saunders, Swenson, Tapper, Palmer, Does 1-12
28	147. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 97 as fully set forth herein. First Amended Complaint 26 Law Office of Joseph Thomas

Case 2:17-cv-00642

14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101 Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848

- 148. The Fourth Amendments to the United States Constitution, protects against unreasonable searches and seizures.
 - 149. The acts of Defendants were taken under the color of state law.
- 150. Government institutions searching employees medical files can be considered searches under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution. *Norman-Bloodsaw v. Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory*, 135 F. 3d 1260, 1269 (9th Cir. 1998).
- 151. By Defendants searching Dalessio's personnel file, laboratory medical file, and disability services file, Defendants violated Dalessio's right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures and to be secure in her person, house, papers and effects in violation of Article I, Section 7 of the Washington Constitution, as well as in violation of the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 152. It is under belief that Defendants Saunders, Tapper, Swenson, Palmer and Does 1-12 encouraged, sanctioned, and ratified a practice of searching and producing documents out of personnel files, laboratory medical file, and disability services file, violating Dalessio's right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures and to be secure in her person, house, papers and effects in violation of Article I, Section 7 of the Washington Constitution, as well as in violation of the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution, made applicable to the State of Washington through the Fourteenth Amendment and made actionable by 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 153. Defendants are legally required to comply with Health Information Portability and Accountability Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1320d–6(a)(3) which states violation occurs when a person knowingly "discloses individually identifiable health information to another person."
- 154. Pursuant to 45 CFR § 164.512(a) a covered entity may only disclose protected health information "to the extent that such use or disclosure is required by law and the use or disclosure complies with and is limited to the relevant requirements of such law."
- 155. Defendants are legally required to comply with the Americans With Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12112(d)(3)(B) and 42 U.S.C. § 12112(d)(4)(C) requires that medical records be kept separately from nonconfidential information, and that access to confidential files be limited.

Case 2:17-cv-00642

Law Office of Joseph Thomas 14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101 Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION Declaratory Judgment, 28 U.S.C. §2201, et. seq.

Against Defendants UW, Saunders, Swenson, Tapper, Palmer, Does 1-12

- 166. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 97 as set forth herein.
- 167. Defendants violated Dalessio's substantive due process rights when it/they unlawfully disclosed private and confidential information about her to third parties including, but not limited to: Dalessio's social security number; Dalessio's date of birth, Dalessio's place of birth; Dalessio's personal home address; Dalessio's personal phone number; Dalessio's personal email address; Dalessio's employee identification number; Dalessio's payroll records; Dalessio's protected health information under both federal laws the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act ("HIPAA"), and the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"); Dalessio's requests for accommodation under the ADA; comments by other employees about Dalessio's disabilities; Dalessio's employee job classification and salary and benefits information; Dalessio's employment security records; Dalessio's job performance evaluations and allegations related to alleged misconduct; Dalessio's work and leave records; Dalessio's previous legal surnames; Dalessio's signature.
- 168. Defendants violated Dalessio's Fourth Amendment rights when it/they unreasonably searched Dalessio's personnel file, laboratory medical file, and disability services file, Defendants violated Dalessio's right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures and to be secure in her person, house, papers and effects in violation of Article I, Section 7 of the Washington Constitution, as well as in violation of the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 169. Dalessio is entitled to an order from the Court that Defendants violated her substantive due process rights.
- 170. Dalessio is entitled to an order form the Court that Defendants violated her Fourth Amendment rights.
- 171. Dalessio seeks equitable relief in the form of having her records deleted from her file, pursuant to the 2003 settlement agreement and other legal authority.
- 172. Dalessio also seeks training and accountability for the invasion of her civil liberties
 First Amended Complaint

 29
 Law Office of Joseph Thomas
 Case 2:17-cv-00642

 Law Office of Joseph Thomas
 14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101
 Renton, Washington

Phone (206)390-8848

1	and others.
2	173. Dalessio seeks equitable relief in the form of providing training in the protection of
3	private and confidential information, especially in the areas of: employee personnel files,
4	disability services files, medical records, social security numbers and dates of birth.
5	174. Dalessio is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 42. U.S.C.
6	§ 1988 and 28 U.S.C. § 1927, if appropriate.
7	[Stricken] FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
8	Declaratory Judgment, 28 U.S.C. §2201, et. seq.
9	Against Defendants Freeman, Chen, Walker
10	175. [Stricken] Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 97 as set forth herein.
11	176. [Stricken] Defendants violated Dalessio's substantive due process rights when
12	it/they unlawfully disclosed private and confidential information about her to third parties
13	including, but not limited to: Dalessio's social security number; Dalessio's date of birth,
14	Dalessio's place of birth; Dalessio's personal home address; Dalessio's personal phone number;
15	Dalessio's personal email address; Dalessio's employee identification number; Dalessio's payroll
16	records; Dalessio's protected health information under both federal laws the Health Insurance
17	Portability and Accountability Act ("HIPAA"), and the Americans with Disabilities Act
18	("ADA"); Dalessio's requests for accommodation under the ADA; comments by other employees
19	about Dalessio's disabilities; Dalessio's employee job classification and salary and benefits
20	information; Dalessio's employment security records; Dalessio's job performance evaluations and
21	allegations related to alleged misconduct; Dalessio's work and leave records; Dalessio's previous
22	legal surnames; Dalessio's signature.
23	177. [Stricken] Dalessio is entitled to an order from the Court that Defendants violated
24	her substantive due process rights.
25	178. [Stricken] Dalessio is entitled to an order form the Court that Defendants violated
26	her Fourth Amendment rights.
27	179. [Stricken] Dalessio seeks equitable relief in the form of having her records deleted
28	from her file, pursuant to the 2003 settlement agreement and other legal authority. First Amended Complaint 30 Law Office of Joseph Thomas
	Case 2:17-cv-00642 14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101

Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848

1	180. [Stricken] Dalessio also seeks training and accountability for the invasion of her
2	civil liberties and others.
3	181. [Stricken] Dalessio seeks equitable relief in the form of providing training in the
4	protection of private and confidential information, especially in the areas of: employee personnel
5	files, disability services files, medical records, social security numbers and dates of birth.
6	182. [Stricken] Dalessio is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 42.
7	U.S.C. § 1988 and 28 U.S.C. § 1927, if appropriate.
8	
9	SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION Breach of Contract
10	Against Defendant UW
11	183. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 97 as set forth herein.
12	184. Dalessio and Defendant UW entered into a contract/settlement agreement in 2003.
13	185. Defendant UW breached this 2003 contract/settlement agreement by failing to
14	remove certain specific documents from Dalessio's official personnel file and from all
15	Department of Labaorary Medicine files.
16	186. On January 10, 2003 Dalessio was told by Washington Assistant Attorney General
17	Jeffrey Davis that the documents in question have collected and sequestered pursuant to the 2003
18	contract/settlement agreement.
19	187. On or about February 2017, Dalessio received documents to her PRA request PR
20	2016-00760. In those documents were documents from her personnel file and from the
21	Department of Laboratory Medicine files. This is when Dalessio first learned of Defendant UW's
22	breach of the 2003 contract/settlement agreement.
23	188. Dalessio performed her duties under the 2003 contract/settlement agreement. At no
24	time did Defendant UW notify Dalessio that she did not satisfy the terms of the 2003
25	contract/settlement agreement.
26	189. Dalessio suffered actual and foreseeable damages a result of Defendant UW's
27	breach.
28	190. But for Defendant UW's breach of the 2003 contract/settlement agreement, many of First Amended Complaint Case 2:17-cv-00642 Case 2:17-cv-00642 Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848

1	the private and confidential documents disclosed concerning Dalessio through PRA requests to						
2	Betz and others, would have not occurred.						
3							
4	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION Common Law Tort						
5	Public Disclosure of Private Facts						
6	Against Defendants Saunders, Swenson, Tapper, Palmer, Does 1-12						
7	191. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 97 as set forth herein.						
8	192. Washington case law recognizes the common law tort of public disclosure of private						
9	facts. See e.g. Hearst v. Hoppe, 90 Wn.2d 123, 135 (1978).						
10	193. At all relevant times, Dalessio was a resident of the State of Washington.						
11	194. Defendants gave publicity to matters pertaining to Dalessio's private life by						
12	disclosing through the PRA her: Dalessio's social security number; Dalessio's date of birth,						
13	Dalessio's place of birth; Dalessio's personal home address; Dalessio's personal phone number;						
14	Dalessio's personal email address; Dalessio's employee identification number; Dalessio's payroll						
15	records; Dalessio's protected health information under both federal laws the Health Insurance						
16	Portability and Accountability Act ("HIPAA"), and the Americans with Disabilities Act						
17	("ADA"); Dalessio's requests for accommodation under the ADA; comments by other employees						
18	about Dalessio's disabilities; Dalessio's employee job classification and salary and benefits						
19	information; Dalessio's employment security records; Dalessio's job performance evaluations and						
20	allegations related to alleged misconduct; Dalessio's work and leave records; Dalessio's previous						
21	legal surnames; Dalessio's signature.						
22	195. Disclosing information, listed in paragraph 192 of this complaint, through the PRA						
23	would be highly offensive to a reasonable person.						
24	196. None of the information listed in paragraph 192 of this complaint is of legitimate						
25	concern to the public.						
26	197. The disclosure of the documents through the PRA is a public disclosure.						
27	198. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' unlawful acts, Dalessio has suffered						
28	economic harm. First Amended Complaint 32 Law Office of Joseph Thomas						

1	199. As a direct and proximate cause of defendants' unlawful acts, Dalessio has suffered						
2	physical harm.						
3	200. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' unlawful acts, Dalessio has suffered						
4	outrage, betrayal, offense, indignity, embarrassment, humiliation, injury and insult in amounts to						
5	be determined at the jury trial.						
6	201. Defendants' conduct toward Dalessio demonstrated a wanton, reckless, or callous						
7	indifference to the constitutional rights of Dalessio, which warrants an imposition of punitive						
8	damages in such amounts as the jury may deem appropriate to deter future violations.						
9							
10	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION Injunctive Relief						
11	Against Defendants Saunders, Swenson, Tapper, Palmer, Does 1-12						
12	200. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 97 as set forth herein.						
13	201. Dalessio has a constitutionally protected expectation of privacy in personal						
14	identifying information based on Article 1, Section 7 of the Washington State Constitution.						
15	202. Dalessio's personal identifying information is exempt from disclosure under the						
16	PRA. Disclosure of Dalessio's identifying information would not be in the public interest, and						
17	would continue to substantially and irreparably damage Dalessio and her privacy interest.						
18	203. Dalessio has no other adequate remedy at law. The PRA, RCW 42.56.540, allows a						
19	Court to enjoin the release of public records when the release would clearly not be in the public						
20	interest and would substantially and irreparably damage any person. Final injunctive relief is						
21	necessary to protect Dalessio from the release of exempt private information.						
22	V. Prayer for Relief						
23	Wherefore, Plaintiff Dalessio prays for judgment against the defendants as follows:						
24	1. Economic damages in an amount to be determined at trial;						
25	2. Compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial;						
26	3. All available equitable relief and damages in amounts to be determined at trial;						
27	4. Punitive damages consistent with the claims above against defendants in amounts to be						
28	determined at trial;						

1	5. Reasonable attorney's fees and litigation expenses/costs herein, including expert							
2	witness and expenses, consistent with the claims above against defendants; and							
3	6. Grant other relief as just and proper.							
4	PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL.							
5	DATED this 12th day of March, 2018	Law Office	of Joseph Thomas					
6		/s/ Josep	h Thomas					
7		Joseph Thor	mas, WSBA 49532					
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28	First Amended Complaint	34	Law Office of Joseph Thomas					

Case 2:17-cv-00642

14625 SE 176th St., Apt. N101 Renton, Washington Phone (206)390-8848